



THE ONE CLICK SMEAR CAMPAIGN
August 2005
(Updated April 2010)

Summary

In light of shocking and explosive recent events this week in April 2010 that will be revealed in due course, One Click has been severely provoked into republishing details of the destructive and reprehensible campaign of personal denigration, harassment and abuse that are constantly levelled at the people who run the group and that continues on to the present day.



Miss Ellen Goudsmit (Psychol.)

One of the protagonists is Miss Ellen Goudsmit (psychol.), so closely linked to the corrupt ME/CFS charities in Britain. Deploying psychological warfare tactics and as a member of the British Psychological Society, Goudsmit attempted to destroy the life of a very young child and his family in an attempt to shut the health advocacy pressure group down. By invoking the authorities and by performing remote psychoanalysis of people completely unknown to her, Goudsmit proceeded to invent highly defamatory false allegations involving the entirely discredited TYMES Trust charity for children run by one Jane Colby. What Goudsmit did and continues to do to this day forms one of the most vicious serial episodes in health advocacy campaigning.

Smear Campaign

As readers will be aware, down the years since the One Click health advocacy pressure group began, there have been a number of direct personal campaigns of attack upon One Click representatives culminating in police action to get the website shut down. See [Freedom Of Information, Police Harassment In The Internet Era](#).

To recap briefly:

Destructive and vicious campaigns of personal denigration of the people who run One Click have taken place. These have included (but are not limited to) claims, both direct and in the form of innuendo of mental instability, secret agents for the psychiatric lobby, unfit mothers, terrorists and comparable to Al-Qaeda and the far right fascist group, the British National Party. Perpetrators of these claims include the Myalgic 'Encephalopathy' Association charity/pharma rep Dr Charles Shepherd, Kate Stewart – (a woman operating under the Margaret Williams pseudonym) and various others.

ME/CFS charity/pharma rep Dr Charles Shepherd mounted a concerted and persistent effort to get Angela Kennedy sacked from her position as University Lecturer, making a number of allegations that turned out to be false.

An anonymous worldwide hate mail campaign has been waged against One Click by an anonymiser account user operating under the pseudonym of 'Hushman'. Collusion of the ME/CFS charities is evident in this hate mail campaign.

Jane Bryant's child has been subjected to vicious allegations, particularly from Kate Stewart/Margaret Williams who recently shot to prominence in the entirely manipulative and disgraceful role that she played in the fated [Gibson 'Inquiry' on ME/CFS](#) and, of course the [Judicial Review of the CFS/ME NICE Guidelines](#).

Vivienne Parry, the rent-a-mouth promoter of the psychiatric paradigm PRIME Project made it her life's work to smear One Click, so much energy did she devote to this issue on behalf of her paymasters, GUS (Great Universal Stores).

The litany of misdeeds performed in an attempt to suppress the evidence published on The One Click Group website is a truly horrendous catalogue.

The most serious attack that began against the One Click internet site in 2004 was the attempt by Miss Ellen Goudsmit (psychologist), member of the British Psychological Society, who sought to invoke the authorities and get One Click Group Director Jane Bryant's child removed from her care.

Goudsmit subsequently changed tack and attempted to take legal action for 'defamation' against One Click; an effort that immediately foundered on the sword of truth. It is hard to take defamatory action against an organisation when what has been published about Goudsmit and her activities is perfectly true.

MISS ELLEN GOUDSMIT AND THE TYMES TRUST CHARITY

Deploying psychological warfare tactics and as a member of the British Psychological Society, one Miss Ellen Goudsmit elected to try to shut down the One Click health advocacy pressure group by mounting an action in an attempt to destroy a child. Suffering under the ill-health label of ME/CFS and Borreliosis since the age of ten with severe Mitochondrial Dysfunction, Goudsmit perceived that mounting an attack on a very ill vulnerable child could very well have a catastrophic effect on the group's ability to publish evidenced information and place it in the public domain.

Under the guise of concern for the child, on 6 September 2004 Dr Goudsmit sent an email to Jane Colby, Director of the TYMES Trust national ME/CFS children's charity using the official charity email address. In this vicious and entirely irrational email Goudsmit accused Jane Bryant of mental instability, that her child was at risk, that Bryant was on psychotropic medication and that Social Services should be alerted to protect the child. Goudsmit requested that the charity investigate Bryant. For Mothers of seriously ill children, false and vicious allegations such as these can have very serious consequences indeed. Goudsmit was attempting to bring in the authorities to get One Click shut down, using a child as a weapon to do it.

Goudsmit stated the following verbatim:

entirely speculative remote psychoanalysis in her claim – the TYMES Trust charity found that there was obviously absolutely no case to answer.

However, instead of writing to Goudsmit to inform her accordingly and advising Goudsmit that if she had proof of such serious allegations, she should contact Social Services herself and provide the evidence, Jane Colby simply did nothing to protect either Jane Bryant or her son. She did not respond to Goudsmit in any way. Under the current climate of what is so frequently done to ME/CFS labelled children at the hands of Social Services, Colby purposefully let the family down extremely and dangerously.

The actions of Colby and her charity that had the power to so severely damage a child came as a particularly nasty surprise because Colby knew the Bryant family very well indeed. Bryant had done a great deal of work during that time to assist Colby's charity in both the areas of the charity's strategic direction and publicly promoted persona. Bryant had been personally responsible for gaining a considerable amount of media coverage nationwide for this charity at Colby's behest. Colby had absolutely no excuse to behave as she did. Bryant had been conned, as had thousands of ME/CFS labelled patients in Britain.

This lack of protection for an ME/CFS labelled child TYMES Trust member was appalling, considering the protective function that TYMES Trust included as part of its remit. We still do not know how Goudsmit then interfaced with Social Services.

A complaint was made to the British Psychological Society about Goudsmit's actions. In its publicly discredited style, The British Psychological Society decided that as Goudsmit was not in a 'client/psychologist relationship', she could not be guilty of breaching client confidentiality, even though:

1. Dr Goudsmit attempted to wreck a family's life by invoking and involving the authorities and by performing remote psychoanalysis of people unknown to her, inventing vicious and defamatory allegations.
2. The TYMES Trust DID take Miss Goudsmit's allegations seriously and an investigation was made, therefore Miss Goudsmit's actions DID place both Jane Bryant and her son at risk of inappropriate intervention.
3. Miss Goudsmit was expressing a 'professional' opinion about someone unknown to her without their consent. Indeed, as is clear from the contents of the email, Goudsmit was using allegations and innuendo about Jane Bryant's psychological health in the same context as her comments made to discredit Jane Bryant's actions as a known political and health advocacy adversary. It should be noted from the email contents that AT NO TIME does Goudsmit indicate in ANY WAY that this is not a personal, as opposed to professional, opinion. Goudsmit signs her email to TYMES Trust in her professional capacity as a psychologist.

Jane Bryant wrote to the British Psychological Society pointing out the discrepancies in their deliberations. In a political context where it is a sad and well known fact that many children labelled with ME/CFS are forcibly and inappropriately removed from loving and protective parents, this email from Goudsmit forms a scandalous episode in the continuing history of ME/CFS politics.

In a subsequent attempt to get the One Click Group website shut down, Goudsmit tried to sue One Click for defamation. Her case never got past the first letter stage and everything published about this woman and her activities remain published on the website to this day.

The One Click Group